

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,420 Examiner Alan Cariaso	PIPO ET AL. Art Unit 2875	

All Participants:

(1) Alan Cariaso.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Patricia Costanzo.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1-20

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney was informed that the Terminal Disclaimer filed March 10, 2006 is approved and overcomes the provisional obvious type double patenting rejection to claims 1-18 and 20, and that the remaining USC 103 rejection to claims 19 and 20 would be withdrawn if applicant agrees to cancel those claims. Attorney left a message that applicant consents to the cancellation of claims 19 and 20. .